UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the Eastern District of Michigan

United States of America)
v.)
) Case No. 23-30098
Randall Robert Berka, II)
Defendant)
ORDER OF DET	TENTION PENDING TRI

Randall Robert Berka, II	C 140. 25-50076
Defendant)	
ORDER OF DETENTION PE	NDING TRIAL
Part I - Eligibility for De	tention
Upon the	
✓ Motion of the Government attorney pursuant to 18 U.S. Motion of the Government or Court's own motion pursuant.	
the Court held a detention hearing and found that detention is warranted and conclusions of law, as required by 18 U.S.C. § 3142(i), in addition	E
Part II - Findings of Fact and Law as to Pres	sumptions under § 3142(e)
A. Rebuttable Presumption Arises Under 18 U.S.C. § 3142(e) presumption that no condition or combination of conditions will and the community because the following conditions have been not be a superior of the community because the following conditions have been not be a superior of the community because the following conditions have been not be a superior of the community because the following conditions have been not be a superior of the community because the following conditions have been not be a superior of the community because the following conditions have been not be a superior of the community because the following conditions have been not be a superior of the community because the following conditions have been not be a superior of the community because the following conditions have been not be a superior of the community because the following conditions have been not be a superior of the community because the following conditions have been not be a superior of the community because the following conditions have been not be a superior of the community because the following conditions have been not be a superior of the community because the	reasonably assure the safety of any other person
(1) the defendant is charged with one of the following crim	mes described in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1):
(a) a crime of violence, a violation of 18 U.S.C. § 15 § 2332b(g)(5)(B) for which a maximum term of imp	
(b) an offense for which the maximum sentence is li	fe imprisonment or death; or
(c) an offense for which a maximum term of impriso Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. §§ 801-904), (21 U.S.C. §§ 951-971), or Chapter 705 of Title 46,	the Controlled Substances Import and Export Act
(d) any felony if such person has been convicted of to (a) through (c) of this paragraph, or two or more State described in subparagraphs (a) through (c) of this paragraphs (b) through (c) of this paragraphs (c) of this paragraphs (d) through (e) of this paragraphs (e) of this paragrap	te or local offenses that would have been offenses ragraph if a circumstance giving rise to Federal
(e) any felony that is not otherwise a crime of violen (i) a minor victim; (ii) the possession of a firearm or (iii) any other dangerous weapon; or (iv) a failure to	destructive device (as defined in 18 U.S.C. § 921);
(2) the defendant has previously been convicted of a Fede § 3142(f)(1), or of a State or local offense that would have to Federal jurisdiction had existed; <i>and</i>	
(3) the offense described in paragraph (2) above for which committed while the defendant was on release pending tri	
(4) a period of not more than five years has elapsed since defendant from imprisonment, for the offense described in	

B. Rebuttable Presumption Arises Under 18 U.S.C. § 3142(e)(3) (narcotics, firearm, other offenses): There is a rebuttable presumption that no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant as required and the safety of the community because there is probable cause to believe that the defendant committed one or more of the following offenses:
(1) an offense for which a maximum term of imprisonment of 10 years or more is prescribed in the Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. §§ 801-904), the Controlled Substances Import and Export Act (21 U.S.C. §§ 951-971), or Chapter 705 of Title 46, U.S.C. (46 U.S.C. §§ 70501-70508);
(2) an offense under 18 U.S.C. §§ 924(c), 956(a), or 2332b;
(3) an offense listed in 18 U.S.C. § 2332b(g)(5)(B) for which a maximum term of imprisonment of 10 years or more is prescribed;
(4) an offense under Chapter 77 of Title 18, U.S.C. (18 U.S.C. §§ 1581-1597) for which a maximum term of imprisonment of 20 years or more is prescribed; or
(5) an offense involving a minor victim under 18 U.S.C. §§ 1201, 1591, 2241, 2242, 2244(a)(1), 2245, 2251, 2251A, 2252(a)(1), 2252(a)(2), 2252(a)(3), 2252A(a)(1), 2252A(a)(2), 2252A(a)(3), 2252A(a)(4), 2260, 2421, 2422, 2423, or 2425.
C. Conclusions Regarding Applicability of Any Presumption Established Above
The defendant has not introduced sufficient evidence to rebut the presumption above. OR
The defendant has presented evidence sufficient to rebut the presumption, but after considering the
presumption and the other factors discussed below, detention is warranted.
presumption and the other factors discussed below, detention is warranted. Part III - Analysis and Statement of the Reasons for Detention
Part III - Analysis and Statement of the Reasons for Detention After considering the factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) and the information presented at the detention hearing,
Part III - Analysis and Statement of the Reasons for Detention After considering the factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) and the information presented at the detention hearing, the Court concludes that the defendant must be detained pending trial because the Government has proven: ☑ By clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure
Part III - Analysis and Statement of the Reasons for Detention After considering the factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) and the information presented at the detention hearing, the Court concludes that the defendant must be detained pending trial because the Government has proven: ✓ By clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the community. ✓ By a preponderance of evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure
Part III - Analysis and Statement of the Reasons for Detention After considering the factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) and the information presented at the detention hearing, the Court concludes that the defendant must be detained pending trial because the Government has proven: ✓ By clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the community. ✓ By a preponderance of evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the defendant's appearance as required. In addition to any findings made on the record at the hearing, the reasons for detention include the following: ✓ Weight of evidence against the defendant is strong ✓ Subject to lengthy period of incarceration if convicted ✓ Prior criminal history
Part III - Analysis and Statement of the Reasons for Detention After considering the factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) and the information presented at the detention hearing, the Court concludes that the defendant must be detained pending trial because the Government has proven: ☑ By clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the community. ☑ By a preponderance of evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the defendant's appearance as required. In addition to any findings made on the record at the hearing, the reasons for detention include the following: ☑ Weight of evidence against the defendant is strong ☑ Subject to lengthy period of incarceration if convicted ☑ Prior criminal history ☐ Participation in criminal activity while on probation, parole, or supervision
Part III - Analysis and Statement of the Reasons for Detention After considering the factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) and the information presented at the detention hearing, the Court concludes that the defendant must be detained pending trial because the Government has proven: ☑ By clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the community. ☑ By a preponderance of evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the defendant's appearance as required. In addition to any findings made on the record at the hearing, the reasons for detention include the following: ☑ Weight of evidence against the defendant is strong ☑ Subject to lengthy period of incarceration if convicted ☑ Prior criminal history ☐ Participation in criminal activity while on probation, parole, or supervision ☑ History of violence or use of weapons
Part III - Analysis and Statement of the Reasons for Detention After considering the factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) and the information presented at the detention hearing, the Court concludes that the defendant must be detained pending trial because the Government has proven: ✓ By clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the community. ✓ By a preponderance of evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the defendant's appearance as required. In addition to any findings made on the record at the hearing, the reasons for detention include the following: ✓ Weight of evidence against the defendant is strong ✓ Subject to lengthy period of incarceration if convicted ✓ Prior criminal history □ Participation in criminal activity while on probation, parole, or supervision ✓ History of violence or use of weapons □ History of alcohol or substance abuse
Part III - Analysis and Statement of the Reasons for Detention After considering the factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) and the information presented at the detention hearing, the Court concludes that the defendant must be detained pending trial because the Government has proven: ✓ By clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the community. ✓ By a preponderance of evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the defendant's appearance as required. In addition to any findings made on the record at the hearing, the reasons for detention include the following: ✓ Weight of evidence against the defendant is strong ✓ Subject to lengthy period of incarceration if convicted ✓ Prior criminal history ☐ Participation in criminal activity while on probation, parole, or supervision ✓ History of violence or use of weapons ☐ History of alcohol or substance abuse ✓ Lack of stable employment
Part III - Analysis and Statement of the Reasons for Detention After considering the factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) and the information presented at the detention hearing, the Court concludes that the defendant must be detained pending trial because the Government has proven: ✓ By clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the community. ✓ By a preponderance of evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the defendant's appearance as required. In addition to any findings made on the record at the hearing, the reasons for detention include the following: ✓ Weight of evidence against the defendant is strong ✓ Subject to lengthy period of incarceration if convicted ✓ Prior criminal history □ Participation in criminal activity while on probation, parole, or supervision ✓ History of violence or use of weapons □ History of alcohol or substance abuse

Case 1:23-cr-20235-TLL-PTM ECF No. 12, PageID.22 Filed 03/15/23 Page 3 of 3

Significant family or other ties outside the United States

Lack of legal status in the United States

Subject to removal or deportation after serving any period of incarceration

Prior failure to appear in court as ordered

Prior attempt(s) to evade law enforcement

Use of alias(es) or false documents

Background information unknown or unverified

Prior violations of probation, parole, or supervised release

OTHER REASONS OR FURTHER EXPLANATION:

AO 472 (Rev. 09/16) Order of Detention Pending Trial

Part IV - Directions Regarding Detention

The defendant is remanded to the custody of the Attorney General or to the Attorney General's designated representative for confinement in a corrections facility separate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or being held in custody pending appeal. The defendant must be afforded a reasonable opportunity for private consultation with defense counsel. On order of a court of the United States or on request of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility must deliver the defendant to a United States Marshal for the purpose of an appearance in connection with a court proceeding.

Date:	March 15, 2023	s/ Patricia T. Morris
		Judge's Signature
		Patricia T. Morris, U.S. Magistrate Judge

Page 3 of 3

Name and Title